







METASTATIC RENAL CARCINOMA

INTRODUCTION: 2-3 % of all renal cell cancer.

Incidence 60-70 yo. 1.5:1 (male: female)

- -Most RCC diagnosed by abdominal US or CT performed for other reason.
- -Most common symptoms: hematuria, low back pain and palpable mass.
- -Metastasis: lung 45%, Bones 30%, LNs 22%, Liver 20%.

PROGNOSTIC MODELS FOR RCC:

Stratifies patients into risk groups based on risk scores obtained from clinical and laboratory data. Assists clinicians in discussions about prognosis.

MSKCC Score:

-Favourable Risk: 0 factors.
-Intermediate Risk: 1-2 factors.

-Poor Risk: 3-5 factors.

International mRCC Database Consortium Risk Model (IMDC):

-Favourable Risk: 0 factors.
-Intermediate Risk: 1-2 factors.

-Poor Risk: 3-6 factors.

MSKCC CRITERIA			
POOR PROGNOSTIC FACTOR			
< 12 months			
< lower limit of normal			
> 1.5 upper limit of normal			
> 10 mg/dL			
< 80%			

IMDC RISK MODEL			
FACTOR	POOR PROGNOSTIC FACTOR		
Karnofsky Performance Status	< 80%		
Time from diagnosis to systemic treatment	< 12 months		
Hemoglobin	< lower limit of normal		
Calcium	> upper limit of normal		
Neutrophils	> upper limit of normal		
Platelets	> upper limit of normal		

DISEASE MANAGEMENT:

LOCAL: 1.Cytoreductive nephrectomy (CN).

Standard-of-care before targeted therapy era. Overall Survival: Inmunotherapy (IFN-a, IL-2) vs inmunotherapy (IFN-a, IL-2) + CN: CN improved OS.

- -Sunitinib vs CN + sunitinib (CARMENA): sunitinib alone not inferior to CN followed by sunitinib in OS (intermediate and MSKCC poor risk).
- -Immediate CN + sunitinib vs sunitinib + deferred CN + sunitinib (SURTIME): OS benefit with deferred CN approach in ITT population.

Recommendations: perform immediate CN in patients with oligometastases when complete local treatment of the metastases can be achieved. Do not perform CN in MSKCC poor-risk patients.

2.Embolisation. Patients unfit for surgery or with non-resectable disease. Symptomatic control.

3.Local therapy of metastases. Too heterogeneous data to meta-analyse. Benefit of complete metastasectomy in mRCC patients in terms of OS, CSS and delay of systemic therapy (*lung, liver, pancreas*) in retrospective comparative studies.

Bone metastases. Single-dose RT vs hypofractionated RT. Single-dose RT improves PFS.

Metastasectomy/curettage vs no surgery of solitary bone metastases. Higher CSS rate in the intervention group.

Brain metastases. Stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) vs SRS + whole brain radiotherapy. Similar outcomes.

SYSTEMIC THERAPY:

1.Chemotherapy (5-FU, gemcitabina, doxorrubicine). No chemotherapy as first line therapy in patients with clear-cell mRCC.

2.Inmunotherapy.

IFN- α	IL-2	BEVACIZUMAB (Monoclonal antibody against circulating VEGF)
Moderate efficacy. Only effective	Has been used since	AVOREN (Bevacizumab vs bevacizumab + IFN- α in first-line): B+IL-2 increases PFS. No differences in OS.
in some groups.	1985 with response	No statistically significant benefit in OS.
Increases response rates and PFS	rates 7-27%.	$CALGB90206$ ($CN* + bevacizumab vs CN* + bevacizumab + IFN-a): combined treatment with IFN-\alpha increases$
combined with bevacizumab.	High toxicity.	PFS. No statistically significant benefit in OS.
		Side effects: AHT (+AEC inhibitors), proteinuria, bleeding events, wound healing delay, pulmonary embolism

ANTI PD-1 AND ANTI CTLA-4 MONOCLONAL ANTIBODY.

_					
	NIVOLUMAB (anti PD-1)	IPILIMUMAB (anti CTLA-4)	PEMBROLIZUMAB (anti PD-1).		
Γ	CHECKMATE 025 (Nivolumab vs everolimus after	CHECKMATE 214 (Nivolumab +	KEYNOTE-426 (<i>Pembrolizumab</i> + <i>axitinib</i> vs <i>sunitinib</i> in first-line):		
	VEGF-targeted therapy): longer OS, better QoL and fewer	ipilimumab vs sunitinib in first-line):	P+A increases PFS and OS vs sunitinib among patients with previously		
	adverse events with nivolumab.	N+I significant advantage in OS, better	untreated advanced RCC.		
		in patients with ≥1% PD-L1 expression.			

Side effects of immune checkpoint inhibitors: fatigue, endocrine disorders, diarrhea, rash, hepatitis, pneumonitis...

3. Tyrosine kinase inhibitors.

Sir yr osinic kini				
SORAFENIB	SUNITINIB	PAZOPANIB	CABOZANTINIB	AXITINIB
Not superior	First-line	VEG105192 (pazopanib vs placebo):	METEOR (Cabozantinib vs everolimus after	AXIS (Axitinib vs sorafenib in
to $IFN-\alpha$ in	monotherapy with	Improvement in PFS.	VEGF-targeted therapies): cabozantinib increased	second-line): PFS was greater for
untreated	sunitinib	COMPARZ (Pazopanib vs sunitinib in	PFS and OS.	axitinib.
patients.	demonstrated	first-line): pazopanib not inferior PFS or	CABOSUN (Cabozantinib vs sunitinib in first-line	KEYNOTE-426 (Pembrolizumab
	longer PFS and	OS compared to sunitinib	in intermediate- and poor-risk patients):	+axitinib vs sunitinib in first-line):
	OS vs <i>IFN-α</i> .	(well tolerated, PISCES trial).	cabozantinib increased median PFS, but not OS.	P+A increases PFS and OS.

Side effects of anti-angiogenic drugs: asthenia, diarrhea, vomiting, hypertension, bleeding events, rash, pulmonary embolism...

4.mTOR inhibitors.

-TEMSIROLIMUS.

ARCC (Temsirolimus vs IFN- α vs temsirolimus + IFN- α in first-line): median OS was higher in temsirolimus monotherapy group. $T+IFN-\alpha$ was not significantly superior. IFN- α toxicity was marked.

INTORSECT (*Temsirolimus vs sorafenib* in patients who had previously failed sunitinib): significant OS benefit for *sorafenib*.

-EVEROLIMUS.

RECORD-1 (Everolimus vs placebo in treatment of VEGF-refractory disease): everolimus increased PFS.

RECORD-3 (Sunitinib in first-line and everolimus in second-line vs everolimus in first-line and sunitinib in second-line): higher median PFS for first-line treatment in the sunitinib group.

Side effects of mTOR inhibitors: asthenia, high serum glucose, dyslipidemia/hyperlipidemia, infections, mucositis, pneumonitis...

